DEMAND NO. XLVI—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON SCHEMES OF GOVERNMENT TRADING.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The question is—

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,20,800 under Demand No. XLVI—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading".

The Demand was put and carried and the Grant was made.

DEMAND NO. XLVII—LOANS AND ADVANCES BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The question is—

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 30,00,000 under Demand No. XLVII—Loans and Advances by the State Government".

The Demand was put and carried and the Grant was made

III.—ANNOUNCEMENTS—*cont.*

(3) THE MADRAS APPROPRIATION (NO. 4) BILL, 1964.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : I have to announce that the Governor has given his recommendation for the introduction in and consideration by the Legislative Assembly of the Madras Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1964.

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILLS—*cont.*

(2) THE MADRAS APPROPRIATION (NO. 4) BILL, 1964.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I move—

"That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1964".

The motion was put and carried and leave was granted.

THE HON. SRI R. VEKATARAMAN : Sir, I introduce the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The Bill is introduced.

III.—ANNOUNCEMENTS—*cont.*

(4) THE MADRAS APPROPRIATION (NO. 5) BILL, 1964

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : I have to announce that the Governor has given his recommendation for the introduction in and consideration by the Legislative Assembly of the Madras Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1964.

(3) THE MADRAS APPROPRIATION (NO. 5) BILL, 1964.

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILLS—*cont.*

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I move—

"That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1964".

The motion was put and carried and leave was granted.

22nd July 1964]

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, I introduce the Bill.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER : The Bill is introduced.

(4) THE MADRAS MINOR INAMS (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) AMENDMENT BILL, 1964 (L.A. BILL NO. 16 OF 1964), AS AMENDED BY THE SELECT COMMITTEE.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I move—

" That the Madras Minor Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1964 (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1964), as amended by the Select Committee be taken into consideration ".

In moving the motion, I wish to make a few observations about the minor inams in the Kanyakumari district and Shencottah taluk.

In the Kanyakumari district and Shencottah taluk, in addition to the three tenures, viz., Sreepandaravaka, Sreepadam and Thiruppuvaram, there are service inams and karanma service inams. The former are for such services as that of an executioner and the latter are for services such as lighting lamps, making garlands, etc., in temples.

The service inams are governed by the Service Inams Proclamation of 1068 M.E. So far as karanma service inams are concerned, the Karanma Service Proclamation of 1088 M.E., which governed them, was repealed by section 60 of the Travancore-Cochin Hindu Religious Institutions Act, 1950 (Travancore-Cochin Act XV of 1950), (which Act was itself repealed by the Madras (Transferred Territory) Incorporated and Unincorporated Devaswams Act, 1959 (Madras Act 30 of 1959). Therefore, the karanma service inams are now governed by the provisions of section 22 of Madras Act 30 of 1959. The Bill seeks to apply the Madras Minor Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1963 to these two types of service inams, and also to any other inams that may exist in those areas. Service inams in the Kanyakumari district and Shencottah taluk constitute grants of lands and hence, under section 8 (1) of Madras Act 30 of 1963, after it is extended to these areas by the present Bill, the holders of such lands will be entitled to patta and, under section 21 of the Act, the obligation to render the service will be enforceable.

The Select Committee considered that the words " with interest thereon at 3 per cent per annum " occurring in sub-section (5) of section 33 of Madras Act 30 of 1963 should be omitted, as the Government need not pay interest on the balance of interim payments due to institutions who are paid full tasdik allowance. A new clause has accordingly been added in the Bill as clause 14, the existing clause 14 being renumbered as clause 15.

Sir, I request the House to accept the motion.